The City of Johannesburg's water problems

Credit: Researched, written and compiled by Tony Stone

The city's water originates from the Vaal River system and Lesotho Highlands Project, treated by Rand Water. Despite high Blue Drop scores (98.1%), wastewater infrastructure is critically neglected, with Green Drop scores at 48.8%, indicating pollution risks. Johannesburg's water infrastructure suffers from corruption, maladministration, and tender fraud, leading to low pressure, outages, and dry taps. The city's water demand exceeds sustainable levels, driven by rapid urbanization, high consumption, and illegal connections. Aging pipes, especially asbestos-cement types, burst frequently, costing millions in repairs. Infrastructure upgrades include replacing pipes and expanding reservoirs, but progress is uneven and ongoing. Political instability, budget cuts, and municipal debt hinder effective management. Climate variability, including El Nino, threatens to worsen water shortages. Continued infrastructure neglect and high consumption patterns due to high levels of urbanisation threaten long-term water security.

Before we look into Johannesburg water, we need to understand the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS), what it is supposed to do, and where it is failing.

In South Africa, the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) serves as the ultimate national custodian of the country's water resources. Governed by the National Water Act and the Water Services Act, its primary mandate is to regulate, protect, manage, and conserve water resources while ensuring all citizens have access to clean water and dignified sanitation.

However, South Africa is currently facing a deepening water and sanitation crisis. While the national DWS is responsible for bulk water infrastructure, dams, and policy regulation, local municipalities (Water Services Authorities) are responsible for distributing water directly to households. The institutional breakdown occurs across both levels, heavily exacerbated by a massive R400 billion maintenance backlog and systemic municipal failures.

Core Responsibilities of the DWS

The department's functions are split between broad resource management and the regulation of local supply:

- **Bulk infrastructure development:** Planning, constructing, and maintaining large-scale water infrastructure like national dams, reservoirs, and inter-basin water transfer schemes.
- **National water regulation:** Acting as the sector regulator. This includes issuing water-use licences and auditing water quality via the Blue Drop (drinking water), Green Drop (wastewater), and No Drop (water loss) assessment metrics.
- **Policy formulation:** Designing legal frameworks and national strategies to balance environmental preservation with growing economic and population demands.
- **Municipal oversight:** Guiding, funding, and intervening in local government structures to ensure they properly deliver household water and sanitation services.

How the System is Failing

The state has openly acknowledged extensive failures in water management, driven by a combination of aging infrastructure, local government collapse, and financial strain. The system is experiencing critical breakdowns in the following areas:

1. Widespread Wastewater and Sewage Collapse (Green Drop Failures)

The treatment of sewage has deteriorated rapidly. National audits show that 47% of South Africa's municipal wastewater systems are in a critical state, an alarming increase from 39% a few years prior. Raw or poorly treated sewage routinely spills into rivers and ecosystems because local treatment plants lack proper maintenance, chemical supplies, or qualified staff.

2. Severe Water Losses (No Drop Failures)

South Africa loses a massive portion of its treated, clean drinking water before it ever reaches households. The national average for non-revenue water (water lost to leaks, pipe bursts, and illegal connections) sits at roughly 47.3%. This means nearly half of the country's purified water is wasted due to neglected physical networks.

3. Municipal Debt and Financial Collapse

The entire water value chain is starved for cash. Local municipalities are failing to accurately bill residents or collect revenue, and they do not legally ring-fence their water revenue to use for infrastructure repairs. This has created a massive bottleneck: municipalities owe over R27 billion to the country's regional water boards, crippling the boards' ability to operate bulk supply systems.

4. Critical Staff and Operational Deficiencies

Many local water authorities fail to adhere to basic standard operating procedures for treating drinking water. There is a severe shortage of qualified water engineers, technical specialists, and plant operators at the municipal level. Furthermore, critical water and energy infrastructure faces continuous disruption from targeted theft, vandalism, and organised criminal networks.

5. Delayed Capital Expansion

Major bulk water infrastructure projects have historically suffered massive multi-year delays. While mega-projects like Phase II of the Lesotho Highlands Water Project are expanding, the delays have left major metropolitan areas and rural communities highly vulnerable to regular supply shortages.

Government Interventions

To combat these failures, the government has launched the National Water Access Acceleration Programme to deploy rapid, decentralised solutions like boreholes and rainwater harvesting. Additionally, new legislative reforms have been proposed to hold municipal managers personally and legally liable for the mismanagement of water systems.

If you are tracking a specific region, let me know if you would like to look at the water quality and infrastructure issues affecting a particular province or municipality, or if you want to explore the legislative reforms being implemented to penalise failing water authorities.

City of Johannesburg: The Drop Metric Comparison

Assessment	Johannesburg's Rating / Score	Status	Key Takeaway
Blue Drop (Drinking Water)	**98.10%** (28.05% Risk Rating)	**Excellent**	Tap water is fully compliant and completely safe for human consumption.
Green Drop (Wastewater)	**48.8%**	**Poor / Critical**	Infrastructure neglect means sewage is routinely polluting natural waterways.

The City of Johannesburg

Explosive reports and audits by the Auditor General of South Africa (AGSA)reveal that The City of Johannesburg's water crisis is heavily driven by corruption, maladministration, and tender fraud. As a result, the city is experiencing an escalating water crisis driven by mismanagement, infrastructure failures, power outages, and deferred maintenance rather than an absolute drought. The city is routinely subjected to low pressure and dry taps, with some areas facing prolonged outages that have sparked calls for a national disaster declaration.

Although Johannesburg's present crisis is primarily caused by governance failures rather than drought, increasing climate variability may produce more frequent periods of reduced rainfall, placing additional pressure on already fragile infrastructure – especially with a super El Nino expected in the coming summer (Nov 2026 to March 2027).

Situation

Johannesburg's water originates from integrated river systems and the Lesotho Highlands Water Project. It is purified by the bulk supplier, Rand Water, pumped into municipal reservoirs/towers managed by Johannesburg Water, and finally distributed via pipeline networks to residential taps.

Water in the Vaal Dam originates from rivers such as the Vaal River and its major tributary, the Wilge River. It is also supplemented by smaller local streams like the Molspruit and Grootspruit. The primary Johannesburg wastewater systems that impact the broader Vaal River catchment system are the Bushkoppies Wastewater Treatment Works and Goudkoppies Wastewater Treatment Works. While Johannesburg's southern treatment facilities do not dump directly into the Wilge River, Molspruit, or Grootspruit—which physically surround and feed into the Vaal Dam from the Mpumalanga and Free State sides—the city's poorly managed southern network heavily pollutes the Klip River and Rietspruit, which flow directly into the Vaal River system just downstream at the Vaal Barrage.

Raw sewage flows into the Vaal Dam, but it does not originate from Johannesburg's municipal system. Instead, millions of litres of untreated effluent pour into the dam daily due to the complete collapse of municipal wastewater infrastructure in neighbouring Free State and Mpumalanga towns situated upstream along the dam's feeder rivers.

The Maluti-a-Phofung Local Municipality (Phuthaditjhaba) suffered a total collapse of its seven wastewater treatment works. This releases over 30 million litres of raw sewage daily into the Wilge River network, which flows directly into the Vaal Dam. Further down, persistent sewage spills from Mafube Municipality (Frankfort and Villiers) add directly to this contamination.

Broken wastewater pump stations in towns like Evander and Standerton discharge unmitigated sewage across open fields and local streams. This effluent runs directly into the Grootspruit, which feeds the Waterval River—a major eastern tributary that dumps its contents directly into the Vaal Dam

It is a common misconception that Johannesburg's waste spoils the Vaal Dam. Geographically, the Vaal Dam sits upstream and at a higher elevation than Johannesburg's outflow points.

Instead, raw and partially treated sewage from Johannesburg and the Emfuleni Municipality (Vereeniging and Vanderbijlpark) flows into the Klip River and Rietspruit. These streams meet the Vaal River at the Vaal Barrage, which is located downstream from the Vaal Dam wall. While this heavily pollutes the Vaal River system below the dam, gravity prevents it from flowing backward into the dam itself.

The water reticulation process

The supply chain from origination to your residential tap progresses through these major stages:

- Water is sourced from the Vaal River System and the Lesotho Highlands Water Project, crossing mountain ranges before reaching its water purification plants located in Vereeniging and Zuikerbosch. These plants treat approximately 4.5 billion litres of water daily using a conventional purification method to make it safe for human consumption. The seven-stage purification process is:

  1. Screening

  Raw water passes through heavy metal grates. This blocks large debris, plastics, fish, and floating plants introduced by the sewage pathways before the water enters the primary buffer dams.

  2. Coagulation

  The sewage-laden water contains high amounts of suspended organic matter and microscopic fecal particles. Rand Water adds a primary coagulant—typically hydrated lime (calcium hydroxide**)** or organic polymers. This chemical destabilizes the electrical charges of the suspended particles, causing them to clump together.

  3. Flocculation

  The water enters large, mechanically agitated tanks called flocculators. The water is gently stirred to encourage the destabilized particles to collide and bond, growing into visible, heavy clumps known as "flocs".

  4. Sedimentation

  The water moves into massive, horizontal-flow sedimentation tanks. Gravity takes over, and the heavy flocs sink to the bottom, forming a thick blanket of sewage sludge. Automated travelling bridges slowly scrape this sludge away to be pumped out, leaving clarified water at the surface.

  5. Carbonation

  The addition of lime in stage two spikes the water's pH level, making it highly alkaline. To balance this, carbon dioxide gas is bubbled through the clarified water. This process lowers the pH back to a neutral, safe level (around 7.0 to 8.4) and stabilizes the chemistry so it does not corrode municipal pipelines.

  6. Filtration

  The water passes through deep sand filtration beds. These filters trap any tiny, lingering flocs, organic compounds, and micro-algae that escaped the sedimentation tanks.

  7. Disinfection (Chlorination)

  This is the most critical step for eliminating human waste hazards. Rand Water doses the filtered water with liquid chlorine evaporated into gas. This completely destroys pathogenic bacteria, viruses, and parasites (like E. coli) stemming from the upstream raw sewage. A residual amount of chlorine is intentionally left in the water to keep it sterile as it travels through hundreds of kilometres of pipelines.

The battle against algae and invasive weeds

Because raw sewage acts as a powerful fertilizer rich in nitrates and phosphates, it triggers massive toxic algal blooms and explosive growths of invasive weeds like **water lettuce** and hyacinth along the Vaal system. To combat this, Rand Water implements specialized secondary controls:

- **Advanced chemical pre-treatment:** Dosing specialized pre-treatment chemicals to reduce the taste and odour compounds (like geosmin and MIB) produced by severe algal overgrowth.
- **Biological and manual removal:** Utilizing an integrated program of manual extraction, localized chemical spraying, and releasing thousands of biocontrol weevils directly into the river system to consume the invasive water lettuce suffocating the waterways.

While Rand Water consistently meets South African drinking standards, the worsening upstream sewage crisis has dramatically increased their operational and chemical budgets, driving up the baseline cost of clean tap water for the entire Gauteng province

- From these two main purification hubs in Vereeniging, the bulk potable water is pushed through a massive network of pipelines to primary booster stations—including the Zwartkopjes, Eikenhof, Mapleton, and Palmiet pump stations. From the booster stations, the water is distributed into municipal reservoirs (such as the 129 reservoirs managed by Johannesburg Water) before it eventually flows to your residential or industrial tap.
- The water flows down via gravity or is pumped through roughly 12,500 km of municipal distribution pipelines directly to residential homes

Current situation status

Johannesburg Water is currently attempting to reverse years of neglect through several high-profile interventions:

- Johannesburg Water, in coordination with bulk supplier Rand Water, recently completed a massive multi-phase preventative infrastructure maintenance shutdown in July 2026 to enhance long-term system capacity and pump station reliability.
- The city recently deployed a R760 million infrastructure upgrade. This includes the newly launched Brixton Reservoir and Tower Project designed to strengthen water security in high-risk zones, alongside a secondary 5-kilometre pipeline expansion.
- Management has submitted a structured R33 billion turnaround strategy to the parliamentary portfolio committee, aiming to replace thousands of meters of ageing piping to prevent continuous system collapse.

Because the grid operates at the absolute edge of its capacity, scheduled infrastructure maintenance trigger severe, multi-week outages instead of smooth distribution transitions. For instance, recent shutdowns compounded by unexpected electricity faults at the Eikenhof booster station completely emptied the Commando system (feeding Hursthill, Crosby, and Brixton reservoirs). The local water grid lacks the structural resilience to absorb both planned upgrades and daily operational shocks simultaneously.

Following previous outages in suburbs like Roodepoort and Randburg, the city has been severely impacted by bulk infrastructure maintenance by Rand Water and Eskom. A critical round of maintenance at major pump stations (such as Eikenhof) and reservoirs has significantly reduced inflows. While pumping eventually resumes, complete recovery of the municipal network often takes five to seven days, resulting in continued uneven supply, low pressure, and dry taps.

According to Joburg Water, demand for water services in the city currently sits at 1,726 megalitres per day (Ml/d), where it needs to be at 1,550 Ml/d to be in line with the temporary licence apportionment. Excessive demand by Gauteng metros has been repeatedly flagged by Rand Water, which maintains that it supplies enough water in the province for the cities to meet their needs.

The reality: Why the system is failing

Rapid urbanization and immigration (both legal and illegal) are contributing factors to the excessive water demand in Johannesburg, as the city's population rapidly outgrows infrastructure originally designed for far fewer residents. However, experts cite chronic infrastructure neglect, systemic water losses, and overconsumption by higher-income suburbs as the primary drivers of the crisis.

The greater Gauteng region continues to attract high numbers of domestic and international migrants. This continuous urbanisation has strained the physical limits of bulk water supplies, forcing many communities to rely on informal settlements that lack formal reticulation.

The influx of undocumented migrants and the expansion of informal settlements have led to a rise in illegal water connections. While these cause significant strain on localized networks and represent a major loss of revenue for Johannesburg Water, authorities estimate that theft makes up a smaller portion of the overall water loss compared to burst pipes and leaks.

Up to 46% of the city's treated water is classified as "non-revenue water," lost to burst pipes, physical leakages, and commercial metering errors. Decades of maintenance neglect mean that the reticulation system consistently leaks millions of litres daily before it even reaches a tap.

Average water consumption in Gauteng is disproportionately high. More people in Johannesburg are sharing accommodation through multi-generational living arrangements due to rising inflation, high interest rates, and escalating living costs. Also, households in more affluent suburbs consume significantly more water than the global average, often for gardens, pools, and large properties.

Ageing infrastructure

In Johannesburg, the average cost for a burst pipe repair ranges from R2,000 to R8,000, which includes the call-out fee, labour, and materials. For minor, easily accessible leaks, costs can start as low as R1,000, while major repairs requiring excavation or wall removal can exceed R10,000. The city averages roughly 4,336 water pipe bursts every

month, which translates to approximately 144 burst pipes every single day. Annually, Johannesburg Water logs between 33,000 and 43,000+ pipe bursts across its municipal network. The cost of repairs is huge.

Johannesburg Water is replacing old caste iron and asbestos-cement (AC) water pipes systematically through planned infrastructure upgrades. However, there is no set date for the complete replacement of all AC pipes across the city. The utility handles them through an ongoing, prioritized renewal and rehabilitation program targeting high-burst areas.

Asbestos-cement pipes, the majority of the 12,500 kilometres of pipe infrastructure, typically have a 50 to 70-year operational lifespan and are highly rigid, making them prone to bursts in acidic ground where lime is leached from the pipes, which, coupled with water pressure surges caused by routine water rationing and network throttling causes the pipes to burst.

The city is progressively swapping these out for uPVC Class 16 high-impact or steel pipes. Recent targeted projects have focused on the Johannesburg Central and Northern areas, as well as specific suburbs like Sharonlea and Morningside. But, rather than a single city-wide replacement deadline, the utility carries out these replacements during rolling capital expenditure (CAPEX) cycles and emergency interventions!!

The City of Ethekwini (Durban) had the same problem. They bit the bullet and replaced over 4,000 kilometres of AC pipes with uPVC pipes.

How the City is Responding

Johannesburg Water has implemented various Water Conservation and Demand Management Strategies:

- They have budgeted for 150 kilometres of AC pipes to be replaced in 2026.
- Efforts are being made to repair leaks, improve pressure management, and replace aging pipelines across the metro area.
- The city has initiated crackdowns to remove illicit connections in informal settlements, though this often sparks protests and human rights concerns.
- The city routinely enforces restrictions and higher tariffs to curb unsustainable water usage.

The national government has elevated the situation, with President Cyril Ramaphosa highlighting the crisis in Parliament. Joburg Water and Rand Water are implementing phased system upgrades (such as commissioning the new Brixton Reservoir Complex) to improve storage and pressure. The city has also established contingency plans to provide water via trucks to critical facilities like hospitals and clinics.

Problems and implications

Successive shifts in political leadership have led to unstable coalitions, diversion of maintenance budgets, and a lack of accountability. Municipal utility *Johannesburg Water* faces severe budget cuts and massive debt, prompting bulk suppliers like Rand Water to demand multimillion-rand deposits.

Residential

When a suburb loses its water supply for an extended period, it triggers an immediate public health crisis, severe economic disruption, and critical infrastructure failures.

- **Critical Emergency Risks**
  Fire hydrants lose all pressure. Local emergency services cannot fight structural fires effectively, putting entire neighbourhoods at risk. Without water to flush toilets, residential sewer lines clog. This leads to widespread sanitation failures, foul odours, and severe biohazard risks. Residents resort to untreated water sources. This drastically increases the transmission of waterborne pathogens like cholera, E. coli, and typhoid fever. Medical facilities, nursing homes, and schools inside the suburb face immediate evacuation or forced closure if they cannot maintain sterile environments.
- **Economic and daily disruptions**
  Restaurants, gyms, salons, and car washes must close immediately due to health and safety regulations. Employees miss work and students miss school to spend hours queuing at emergency water tankers or searching for bottled water. Prolonged municipal failures depress local real estate values and discourage new businesses from investing in the area.
- **Emergency relief and contingency measures**
  During extended municipal outages, local governments and residents must rapidly deploy alternative water solutions. Municipalities dispatch water trucks to designated distribution points. Residents must queue to collect daily strict rations using jerrycans. Households increasingly rely on roof-integrated rainwater tank systems for non-potable uses like flushing toilets and watering gardens. Property owners drill deep groundwater wells, though this requires local environmental permits and risks depleting the shared water table. Supermarkets quickly sell out of bottled water, driving up household monthly expenses significantly.

Industrial

When a water-dependent factory loses its supply, operations immediately grind to a halt. The facility faces rapid production shutdowns, severe equipment damage from overheating, costly compliance or contract breaches, and immediate occupational health hazards that force staff evacuations. Manufacturing processes stop instantly. Without water for critical functions like dilution, washing, and temperature control, heavy machinery risks rapid overheating, pressure buildup, and catastrophic equipment failure. Employers are legally required to provide a reliable water supply for drinking, heat stress management, and sanitation. Without it, operations violate occupational safety laws, requiring factories to send staff home. In food, beverage, and pharmaceutical manufacturing, an outage leaves equipment unwashed and raw materials improperly processed, resulting in wasted batches and scrapped inventory. Unfulfilled orders cause delayed deliveries, trigger financial penalties, and create knock-on disruptions across the broader supply chain.

Long-term and strategic consequences: To keep working, factories must purchase expensive alternative solutions—such as trucking in bottled water or renting industrial water tankers. Prolonged shortages force businesses to invest in heavy-duty contingency systems like industrial-grade boreholes, closed-loop water recycling systems, and containerized water purification units. Companies failing to manage water resources within local environmental regulations face legal fines and forced operational shutdowns.

Needs and solutions

To address prolonged suburb outages and fix the systemic breakdown, the City of Johannesburg and Johannesburg Water must transition from "crisis management" to aggressive, structurally funded stabilization. Local government must target capital investment, governance reform, and operational agility to resolve the issues.

Aggressively fund and ring-fence revenue

1. **Implement trading reforms**: Fully comply with National Treasury's Metro Trading Services Reform to access the R27.7 billion national funding pool.
2. **Stop fund diversion**: The city must immediately stop using water utility revenues to cross-subsidize other failing municipal areas. Ring-fence water payments to reinvest 100% of collected revenue directly back into water infrastructure maintenance.
3. **Reverse budget cuts**: Reverse the recent, highly criticized R201-million cut to the Joburg Water capital budget. Restore the capital expenditure target to at least R3 billion annually to make a dent in the city's massive R26.9 billion infrastructure backlog.

Rehabilitate the Aging Distribution Network

1. **Plug the Leaks**: Johannesburg currently loses roughly 44.8% (up to 46%) of its treated water to leaks and unauthorized usage (Non-Revenue Water) before it ever reaches residents' taps. The city must run aggressive pipe-replacement contracts to stop bleeding up to R7 billion in lost revenue annually.
2. **Overhaul fragile sub-systems**: Fast-track the expansion of vulnerable distribution systems. High-lying areas like Northcliff, Linden, and Brixton frequently experience extended dry taps due to pressure loss and electrical trips at the Eikenhof pump station.
3. **Build redundant storage**: Accelerate projects like the Brixton Reservoir and Water Tower to give vulnerable areas extra storage capacity when bulk supply lines from Rand Water face power or pump failure.

3. Depoliticise and reform utility governance

1. **Appoint technical experts**: Restructure the Joburg Water board by removing political deployments. Introduce qualified civil, hydraulic, and financial engineers who are subjected to stringent performance and integrity audits.
2. **Break the tanker mafia**: Phase out the long-term reliance on expensive private water tankers, which creates a corrupt financial incentive for municipal taps to stay broken. Force full public transparency on all tanker contracts, usage logs, and costs

4. Overhaul crisis communication and contingency operations

1. **Coordinate with bulk suppliers**: Synchronize maintenance shutdowns between Johannesburg Water and bulk water provider Rand Water to prevent recovery periods stretching over multiple days.
2. **Provide honest timelines**: Move away from vague, delayed public relations statements. The city must deliver real-time, GIS-tracked reservoir status updates via automated SMS alerts and localized civic forums so residents can plan ahead.
3. **Pre-deploy alternative supply**: Ensure stationary emergency tanks are stationed in vulnerable, high-lying suburbs *before* major bulk water maintenance begins, rather than deploying roaming trucks 24 to 48 hours after taps run dry

Proposed action plan

To definitively fix the water crisis, the City of Johannesburg cannot rely on long-term promises. The executive leadership must deploy an aggressive, highly localized execution plan driven by immediate structural and physical interventions.

The city must execute the following practical steps as absolute priorities:

1. Enforce the turnaround billing and ring-fencing transition

- **Operationalise independent billing:** Fully transition the billing and cash collection departments away from the centralized city network. Ensure Johannesburg Water acts as a standalone trading entity.
- **Target a 95% meter reading ratio:** Push the current 92% meter reading ratio to at least 95% within the quarter. This will eliminate estimated billings, resolve systemic disputes within 30 days, and rapidly recover outstanding consumer cash.
- **Enforce supplier priority:** Structure all incoming cash flows so that bulk utility provider Rand Water is paid first. This secures upstream supply before any remaining funds are released into the city's general municipal accounts.

2. Execute aggressive network stabilization and demand management

- **Deploy smart pressure management valves (PRVs):** Retrofit at least 37 critical distribution zones with smart PRV controllers. These valves automatically reduce water pressure during minimum night-flow hours (11:00 PM to 4:00 AM). This prevents pipe bursts caused by nocturnal pressure spikes.
- **Scale up the pipe replacement programme:** Ramp up physical infrastructure targets to replace at least 120km of crumbling asbestos and steel water lines annually. Prioritize high-risk, leak-heavy zones in historical and high-density suburbs to cut down the current 44.8% Non-Revenue Water deficit.
- **Complete the high-altitude storage network:** Fast-track and conclude engineering works on critical back-up nodes, specifically focusing on the Brixton Reservoir, Hurst Hill 2, and Meadowlands reservoirs to safeguard supply to vulnerable, high-lying suburbs.

3. Secure infrastructure protection and by-law enforcement

- **New infrastructure design:** All new infrastructure must be governed by the principle “Design for Crime.”
- **Roll out infrastructure security teams:** Deploy specialized, armed municipal security details to monitor water towers, electrical substations, and pump stations. This stops the rampant vandalism, valve tampering, and copper theft that triggers unexpected system shutdowns.
- **Conduct immediate disconnection campaigns:** Mobilize targeted technical teams to isolate and cut off unauthorized bulk water connections. Focus specifically on unmetered commercial operators and illegal informal settlement tie-ins that cause severe local reservoir drawdowns.

4. Implement and maintain an asset management system

Water asset management is the strategic process of operating, maintaining, upgrading, and disposing of water infrastructure (such as pipes, pumps, and treatment plants) to minimize costs, reduce risks, and maintain desired service levels. It ensures that systems remain functional and financially sustainable over their entire lifecycle.

The 5 Core Components

Water asset management is typically broken down into five key elements:

- **Asset Inventory:** A complete registry of what the utility owns. This includes location, age, material, and the current condition of the infrastructure.
- **Level of Service (LOS):** The defined goals and public expectations for system performance. It focuses on water quality, pressure, public health compliance, and reliability.
- **Criticality & Risk Analysis:** An evaluation of which assets are most vital. It determines risk by measuring the *probability of failure* multiplied by the *consequence of failure*.
- **Life Cycle Costing:** Understanding the total cost of an asset from installation through operation, maintenance, and eventual replacement.
- **Long-Term Funding:** A robust financial strategy to ensure the money is available for future rehabilitation and replacements.

Why It Is Necessary

Proper water asset management is critical for several operational and financial reasons:

- **Minimizes System Costs:** By shifting from reactive "run-to-failure" maintenance to proactive predictive maintenance, utilities avoid costly emergency repairs and extend the useful life of assets.
- **Ensures Service Reliability:** It minimizes system downtime, ensuring a consistent supply of safe drinking water and effective wastewater removal.

- **Regulatory Compliance:** Structured management ensures that water systems comply with stringent environmental and public health regulations.
- **Financial Sustainability:** It justifies the need for capital improvement plans, aids in setting fair utility rates, and helps secure funding from government bodies or agencies.

5. Implement a "future oriented" contingency plan

In water management and infrastructure, a contingency—specifically a contingency plan and a contingency budget—is necessary because water systems are highly vulnerable to unpredictable physical, financial, and environmental shocks.

Without contingencies, a single failure can instantly escalate into a public health crisis or a prolonged economic shutdown.

5.1. Managing physical and operational risks

Water infrastructure operates under extreme physical stress 24/7. Contingencies are required to handle probable possibilities.

Pipes burst, bulk pumps burn out, and treatment facility components fail unexpectedly.

Wastewater and filtration plants require constant electricity. Backup power contingencies (like industrial generators or solar installations) prevent raw sewage from flooding communities during power outages.

Armed syndicates routinely steal copper cables, telemetry systems, and backup batteries. Contingencies ensure security forces can deploy and technical teams can hot-swap stolen parts immediately.

5.2. Absorbing climate and environmental shocks

Water supply relies entirely on nature, which is inherently unpredictable. Contingency planning prepares for possible future events.

Day Zero planning (like restrictions, groundwater drilling, and alternative supply routing) keeps cities alive when dam levels drop.

Heavy floods wash out pipelines and overwhelm wastewater plants with mud and debris. Contingencies provide emergency water trucks (water tankers) and rapid structural repair teams.

If an upstream factory dumps toxic chemicals into a river, a contingency plan allows a water utility to safely shut down intakes and isolate the pollution without draining the city's reservoirs.

5.3. Financial and project stability (the contingency budget)

In civil engineering and water infrastructure projects, a financial contingency—usually an extra 10% to 15% allocated on top of the base budget—is mandatory because without money you cannot resolve a crisis.

When digging to replace aging water mains, teams frequently encounter unmapped rock formations, unstable soil, or undocumented old pipelines that require specialized, expensive workarounds.

The cost of specialized water pumps, purification chemicals, and steel can spike unexpectedly during multi-year infrastructure builds.

Emergency structural fixes often reveal deeper, hidden decay that must be repaired immediately to prevent the entire project from failing.

5.4. Preventing public health disasters

When a drinking water system drops in pressure or fails completely, the risk of disease outbreaks sky-rockets. A robust contingency plan establishes immediate water safety protocols, such as pre-planned "boil water" notices, rapid water testing deployments, and localized chlorine dosing to stop deadly bacteria like cholera from spreading through empty pipelines.

6. Implement a future visioning process

This is a critical function. In the context of critical infrastructure like South Africa's water sector, future visioning is the difference between a city thriving or eventually running completely dry.

6.1. Infrastructure outlives current leadership

Major water projects, like the Lesotho Highlands Water Project or massive wastewater treatment plants, take decades to plan, fund, and build.

Political terms last 5 years, but water infrastructure must last 50 to 100 years. Future visioning ensures that current capital expenditure projects are designed to meet the population sizes, economic demands, and environmental constraints of the year 2075, not just today.

6.2. Accelerating climate change and unpredictability

Historical weather patterns are no longer reliable indicators of future water availability. Climate change is causing deeper droughts, more violent flash floods, and rapid shifts in regional rainfall.

Future visioning forces utilities to move away from a single "average rainfall" assumption. It allows engineers to stress-test water systems against extreme weather variations, ensuring cities build resilient infrastructure like managed aquifer recharge systems and deep-water storage before a crisis hits.

6.3. Rapid, unpredictable urbanization

Cities like Johannesburg grow at exponential, erratic rates due to economic migration and expanding informal settlements.

If a city only builds infrastructure based on current census data, it will constantly play catch-up, leading to systemic low water pressure and sewage overflows. Visioning anticipates urban growth corridors and allows planners to secure land and route bulk water mains decades before residential developments are even built.

6.4. Driving technological innovation

Relying on traditional, outdated methods keeps utilities trapped in old cycles of failure.

Future visioning sets a target for technological adoption. It maps out how a utility will transition from manual, reactive maintenance to a "smart utility" ecosystem utilizing AI-driven leak detection, automated telemetry, real-time pollution sensors, and advanced water-recycling technologies.

6.5. Shifting from emergency response to strategic proactivity

Operating in a permanent state of crisis management is financially ruinous and exhausts human resources. Emergency water trucking and hot-fixing burst pipes cost exponentially more than scheduled, preventative maintenance.

Visioning shifts an organization's culture from fighting daily fires to executing a controlled, long-term master plan. It aligns political will, institutional budgets, and engineering workflows toward clear, generational goals

Components of a strategic vision (5–10 years)

- Reliable 24-hour water supply.
- Less than 20% non-revenue water.
- Modern smart metering.
- Fully digitised network.
- Infrastructure funded through ring-fenced revenue.
- Sustainable water use.
- World-class municipal utility.

7. Reduce illegal water connections

To successfully reduce illegal water connections, municipalities must shift from a purely punitive approach to a hybrid strategy that combines strict technical enforcement, formalization of services, and community alignment. Illegal connections are driven by two distinct factors: unaffordable water costs in low-income areas and unauthorized access by commercial or informal operators.

Tackling both requires a systematic, multi-layered approach. Due to the complexities of the socioeconomic realities involved, and after consultation with DWS, this matter will be dealt with under separate title.

8. Suggested performance targets

To reverse the decline and move away from the reality of doing nothing, water utilities and municipalities must implement strict, measurable, and time-bound performance targets. These targets bridge the gap between long-term future visioning and daily operational reality.

- Reduce Non-Revenue Water from 45% to 22.5% within five years.
- Replace 875 km of pipeline annually.
- Reduce pipe bursts by 95% over 10 years.
- Restore reservoir recovery within 24 hours.
- Achieve 99.5% potable water compliance.
- Stop raw sewerage flows into dam feeder rivers by 95% in three years.
- Reduce average outage duration by 60%.

In conclusion

Ultimately, resolving Johannesburg's water crisis requires moving from political crisis management to aggressive, technical execution. If the City of Johannesburg and Johannesburg Water successfully ring-fence their revenue, aggressively replace crumbling pipes, deploy smart pressure management, and secure key infrastructure from vandalism, they can permanently end the threat of prolonged suburban water outages. Failing to act on these

immediate priorities guarantees that dry taps, economic disruptions, and public health risks will become a permanent reality for millions of residents.

As a last though, the cost of doing very little or nothing, which is what has essentially brought the City of Johannesburg to where it now finds itself. It has or will lead the city to:

- Declining investment
- Lower property values
- Reduced municipal revenue
- Higher insurance claims
- Business relocation
- Lower GDP
- Reduced employment
- Increased healthcare costs

The reality of doing nothing—specifically failing to apply future visioning or fund critical contingencies in South Africa's water sector—is not a state of static decline. It is an active, compounding acceleration toward total systemic collapse. When infrastructure is ignored, the laws of physics and economics ensure that the system breaks down exponentially. Slowly at first, then all at once.

This analysis consolidates what the documents report about Johannesburg's water crisis and the impact of government interventions at both national (DWS) and municipal (City of Johannesburg/Johannesburg Water) levels. It focuses on interventions, intended outcomes, observed impacts across reliability/infrastructure/non-revenue water/funding/accountability, timelines, and remaining risks.

What has been implemented

- National level (DWS and broader government):
  - National Water Access Acceleration Programme deploying decentralized supply (e.g., boreholes, rainwater harvesting).
  - Regulatory strengthening via Blue/Green/No Drop audits; proposed legislative reforms to hold municipal managers personally liable for mismanagement.
  - Continued bulk-supply expansion programs (e.g., Lesotho Highlands Water Project Phase II) noted in context, though historically delayed.
- Johannesburg-specific (Johannesburg Water with Rand Water):
  - Multi-phase preventative maintenance shutdown completed in **July 2026** to improve long-term pump reliability and capacity.
  - **R760 million** upgrade program, including the newly launched **Brixton Reservoir & Tower** and a **5 km** pipeline expansion to bolster high-risk zones.
  - A **R33 billion** turnaround strategy submitted to Parliament to replace thousands of meters of aging pipes and stabilize the network.
  - Ongoing leak repair, pressure management, targeted AC/steel pipe replacement (budgeted **150 km in 2026**) and illegal connection crackdowns.
  - Demand management via restrictions and higher tariffs; operational contingencies (e.g., water trucks for hospitals/clinics).
  - Emphasis on synchronizing maintenance with bulk provider Rand Water to reduce extended recovery periods after shutdowns.

Intended outcomes vs. observed impacts

- Intended outcomes (by theme):
  - Service reliability: stabilize reservoirs, shorten recovery times after outages, and ensure 24-hour supply.
  - Infrastructure: reduce bursts/leaks, add storage redundancy, and modernize weak subsystems.
  - Non-revenue water (NRW): materially cut physical and commercial losses toward sub-20% over time.
  - Funding: ring-fence revenue, reverse cuts, unlock national support, and address arrears to secure bulk supply.
  - Accountability: elevate performance oversight and deter corruption through liability reforms and audit pressure.
- Observed impacts (documented to date):

- Blue Drop (drinking water): **98.10%** with a **28.05% risk rating** — quality at the tap remains excellent despite upstream raw sewage contamination, indicating effective bulk treatment and disinfection.
- Green Drop (wastewater): **48.8%** — poor/critical; persistent pollution of downstream Klip/Rietspruit into the Vaal River system below the dam.
- Service reliability: outages remain frequent during/after maintenance; recovery often takes **5–7 days**. A single electrical fault at Eikenhof emptied the Commando system feeding Hursthill/Crosby/Brixton, underscoring fragile resilience.
- Demand pressure: current demand of **1,726 Ml/d** vs. temporary licence apportionment of **1,550 Ml/d** (excess ≈ **176 Ml/d**, ~**11%** over), prolonging low pressure/dry taps.
- Infrastructure failures: about **4,336 pipe bursts/month** (≈144/day); annual **33,000–43,000+** bursts. AC and old cast-iron mains remain widespread and failure-prone.
- Non-revenue water: **44.8–46%** in Johannesburg (vs. national average ~**47.3%**), implying multi-billion-rand losses annually and pressure on tariff sustainability.
- Funding and financial health: municipal arrears to water boards exceed **R27 billion** nationally; Johannesburg faces a **R26.9 billion** local backlog and suffered a **R201 million** capital budget cut flagged as counterproductive. Suppliers have demanded large deposits amid liquidity stress.
- Accountability/governance: audits cite corruption, maladministration, and tender fraud in the city; proposed liability reforms and audit regimes increase pressure but systemic governance weaknesses persist.

At-a-glance mapping: interventions to outcomes and impacts

Intervention	Intended outcome	Observed impact	Timeline/status
National Water Access Acceleration (decentralized supply)	Rapid stop-gaps for underserved areas	Not quantified for Johannesburg in the document; framework in place nationally	Ongoing nationally
Legislative reforms for liability	Strengthen accountability and reduce mismanagement	Increased pressure on failing municipalities; impact on Joburg not yet evidenced in KPIs	Proposed/advancing
July 2026 preventative maintenance shutdown	Improve pump reliability/capacity; reduce unplanned failures	Post-shutdown recovery periods still long (5–7 days); grid remains brittle to concurrent shocks	Completed July 2026
R760m upgrade: Brixton Reservoir & 5 km pipeline	Add storage redundancy; stabilize high-lying zones	New capacity commissioned; expected resilience gains, but systemic fragility persists during bulk/energy faults	Recently launched
R33bn turnaround (pipe replacement, stabilization)	Reduce bursts/leaks; cut NRW; improve reliability	Early-stage; current burst rates (~4,336/month) and NRW (~45%) remain high	Submitted/initiating
Leak repair, PRVs, AC/steel pipe swap (150 km in 2026)	Lower pressure shocks; reduce bursts/NRW	Incremental progress; no citywide drop in NRW reported yet	Underway 2026
Restrictions/tariffs; illegal connection crackdowns	Reduce demand; curb commercial losses	Demand still ~11% above allocation; theft a factor but leaks dominate losses	Ongoing

Intervention	Intended outcome	Observed impact	Timeline/status
Maintenance synchronization with Rand Water	Shorten recovery; avoid overlapping shocks	Coordination emphasized, but recent overlaps still caused extended outages	Improving but inconsistent

Timelines and targets referenced

- Recent/completed:
  - Multi-phase preventative maintenance: **July 2026**.
  - Brixton Reservoir/Tower: recently launched; storage now online.
- Near-term/in progress (2026):
  - **150 km** of AC pipe replacement in 2026; broader R33bn turnaround ramp-up.
- Medium-term goals (examples from proposed targets/vision):
  - Reduce NRW from ~45% to **≤22.5% within five years**; long-run aspiration **<20%**.
  - Restore reservoir recovery to **≤24 hours** post-shock; reduce average outage duration by **60%**.
  - Potable compliance **≥99.5%** (already high at 98.10% Blue Drop score, but with risk noted).
  - Stop raw sewage flows into dam feeder rivers by **95% in three years** (note: major upstream contributors outside Johannesburg's jurisdiction).

Remaining gaps and risks

- Structural fragility: The network has minimal headroom; planned works plus any power/pump fault trigger multi-day recovery. Storage redundancy is improving but still insufficient across vulnerable subsystems.
- Excess demand: City demand (**1,726 Ml/d**) exceeds allocation (**1,550 Ml/d**). Without substantial demand reduction and leakage control, reliability will remain volatile.
- High NRW and bursts: NRW around **45%** and **4,336** monthly bursts signal pervasive asset decay; benefits from replacements/PRVs will take multiple CAPEX cycles to register materially citywide.
- Wastewater failures: **Green Drop 48.8%** reflects ongoing environmental and public-health risk downstream of Johannesburg; upstream municipalities drive Vaal Dam contamination, limiting Joburg's direct control over raw-water inflows.
- Funding shortfalls and governance weaknesses: A **R26.9 billion** local backlog, prior **R201 million** CAPEX cuts, national arrears (**R27+ billion**) and reported corruption/tender fraud undermine execution capacity and procurement integrity.
- Security and operations: Theft, vandalism, and skills shortages degrade uptime and increase costs.
- Climate variability: Anticipated **super El Niño (Nov 2026–Mar 2027)** elevates drought/heat risks, stressing demand and treatment operations.

Bottom line

Government interventions have begun to address critical bottlenecks: new storage (Brixton), planned pipe replacement, preventative maintenance, regulatory pressure, and demand-side measures. These actions align with intended outcomes—higher reliability, lower NRW, and stronger accountability—but observed citywide impacts are still limited by excess demand, severe asset decay, wastewater failures, funding constraints, and governance/security challenges. Blue Drop performance demonstrates that potable water quality at the tap remains high, yet reliability is fragile and recovery after shocks remains measured in days, not hours. Closing the gap will hinge on sustained execution of the **R33 billion** turnaround, aggressive leakage reduction, demand alignment to allocations, and durable governance and financing reforms.

--ooo—